Dear Member

You are hereby summoned to attend a meeting of the <u>Planning Committee</u>, which will be held in the Council Offices, Cornwall's Meadow, Buckingham, on <u>Monday</u>, 7th August 2006 following the Interim <u>Council meeting</u>.

Signed: Mrs Heath Town Clerk

The public is invited to attend.

AGENDA

- 1. Apologies for absence
- 2. Declaration of interest for items on the agenda
- 3. To receive the minutes of the meeting held on 24th July 2006 to be placed before the Council on 4th September 2006
- 4. Action reports and updates as per the attached sheet
- 5. To consider planning applications received from AVDC, and other applications.
- 6. To receive for information details of planning decisions made by AVDC as per "Bulletin" and Reports to Development Control received.
- Any other planning matters.
 6.1 BCC: Second Local Transport Plan 2006-2011; to discuss whether to acquire a copy, and if so to arrange for a copy to be collected.
- 8. Correspondence

 7.1 (06/00752/APP; Greenways, Stowe Ave.) AVDC reasons for decision contrary to BTC response (appended, p3)
 7.2 (4904.1) AVDC Statement of Community Involvement (attached, with copy of appropriate page of Regulation 28 Report)

 9. The maximum of the abave statement on the provided statement of the statement of the
- 9. To consider whether any of the above require a press release
- 10. Chairman's items for information

To:

Cllr. P. Collins (Mayor) Cllr. P. Desorgher Cllr R. Lehmann Cllr. H. Lewis Cllr G. Loftus Cllr. H. Mordue Cllr P. Stevens (Chairman) Cllr C. Strain-Clark Cllr P. Strain-Clark Cllr R. Stuchbury

A public session of no more than 15 minutes will be held prior to this meeting at 7pm, if required.

PLANNING APPLICATIONS 7th August 2006

	App. No.	Particulars
Deferred 1.	d from last meeting: 06/01793/APP	Buckingham Filling Station, Stratford Road Variation of condition 1 of 05/02335/APP to permit the continuance of the extended operating times 07.30 - 20.30 Monday to Saturday and 09.00 - 19.00 Sundays and Bank Holidays beyond 31 st August 2006 <i>BP Oil UK Ltd.</i>
2.	06/01552/AAD	Ring Road Garage Non-illuminated pylon sign <i>Ring Road Garage</i>
3.	06/01806/APP	11 Little Balmer Change of use from Warehouse (B8) to General Industry (B2) <i>Sturgess</i>
4.	06/01893/ALB	Yeomanry House, Hunter Street Etch motif into stone block in gable end <i>Grenville Lodge 1787</i>
5.	06/01904/APP	4 Cropredy Court Erection of conservatory Streater
6.	06/01920/APP	Land at 1 Bourtonville Erection of 1 dwelling and associated parking Lyon
7.	06/01934/ATC	9 Nelson Street Fell 1 Lime <i>Topan</i>
8.	06/01949/APP	6 Western Avenue Single and first floor side & rear extensions <i>Hutsell</i>

The following minor amended plans were received but have not been posted as the application was withdrawn in the same Bulletin:

06/01334/APP 126 Moreton Road Single storey front extn. + 1st fl. rear & side extns.

PLANNING DECISIONS PER BULLETINS

APPROVED				
05/02566/ACD Footbridge, Fishers	Oppose			
06/01224/APP 11 Westfields	Two storey side extension	Support		
06/01233/AAD Focus Store	External illuminated and non-illuminated signage	Support		
06/01335/APP 8 Portfield Close	Single storey rear extn and reposition entrance	Support		
06/01422/APP 5 Boswell Court	Erection of conservatory	Support		
REFUSED 06/01072/AOP 1 Mallard Drive 06/01320/APP land rear 25 Nelson	Demolish garage and erection of bungalow St. Ch.use from garage to garage/office accomm.	Support No comment		
WITHDRAWN 06/01334/APP 126 Moreton Road	Single storey front extn. + 1 st fl. rear & side extns.	Oppose		
W.P.PLANNING	Page 2 of 3	02/03/15		

Members are reminded that they must declare a prejudicial or personal interest as soon as it becomes apparent in the course of the meeting

CORRESPONDENCE

 7.1 <u>06/00752/APP Greenways, Stowe Avenue: First floor side and rear extension</u> Members **OPPOSED** the original plans: *Members felt that the scale of the proposal had an adverse effect on the street scene.* Members **OPPOSED** the Amended Plans: (*Amendment: gap at first floor level between proposed extension and property boundary increased from 720mm to 900mm*) *Members felt that the alteration made no material difference to their original objection.* AVDC **APPROVED**: "The impact of the first floor side extension on the street scene was considered to be acceptable as the extension is modest in scale and would not appear as a dominant or incongruous feature due to the design and materials, which match the original house. The first floor element would retain a distance of 0.9m to the shared boundary. The Design guide on Residential Extensions states that a distance of 1m should be retained between a two storey element and a shared boundary, however it was considered that the distance 0.9m would be acceptable and would not harm the character of the street scene".

W.P.PLANNING

Page 3 of 3