

BUCKINGHAM TOWN COUNCIL

TOWN COUNCIL OFFICES, BUCKINGHAM CENTRE, VERNEY CLOSE, BUCKINGHAM. MK18 1JP

Telephone/Fax: (01280) 816 426

Email: Townclerk@buckingham-tc.gov.uk www.buckingham-tc.gov.uk

Town Clerk: Mr. P. Hodson

Wednesday, 11 December 2019

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Councillor,

You are summoned to a meeting of the Planning Committee of Buckingham Town Council to be held on **16th December 2019 following the Interim Council meeting** in the Council Chamber, Cornwalls Meadow, Buckingham.

Paultin

Mr. P. Hodson Town Clerk

Please note that the meeting will be preceded by a Public Session in accordance with Standing Order 3.f, which will last for a maximum of 15 minutes, and time for examination of the plans by Members.

AGENDA

1. Apologies for Absence Members are asked to receive apologies from Members.

2. Declarations of Interest

To receive declarations of any personal or prejudicial interest under consideration on this agenda in accordance with the Localism Act 2011 Sections 26-34 & Schedule 4.

3. Minutes

To receive the minutes of the Planning Committee Meeting held on Monday 25th November 2019 to be put before the Full Council meeting to be held on 27th January 2020.

Copy previously circulated

4. Buckingham Neighbourhood Plan/Vale of Aylesbury Plan To receive any update.

5. Action Reports

To receive action reports as per the attached list.

Appendix A

Buckingham





Twinned with Mouvaux, France

Members are reminded when making decisions that the Public Sector Equality Duty 2010 requires Members to have due regard to the need to: Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct that is prohibited by the Act, advance equality of opportunity between people who share a characteristic and those who don't, and to foster good relations between people who share a characteristic and those who don't.

All Committee documents can be found on the Buckingham Town Council's website. Alternatively, the Clerk send you a copy of any minutes, reports or other information. To do this, send a request using the contact details set out above.

6. Planning Applications

For Member's information the next scheduled Development Management Committee meetings are 19th December 2019 and 9th January 2020, with SDMC meetings on 18th December 2019 and 8th January 2020.

To consider planning applications received from AVDC and other applications

1.	19/03836/ALB	28 High Street, MK18 1NU
		Replace wooden windows with replica replacements in wood
		(retrospective)
		Rankin

- 2. 19/03971/APP *[Premises]* previously known as Central Tyres, Wharf Yard MK18 1TD Change of use of part of existing B2 General Industry building to A1 retail usage. Adding a shop/outlet/trade counter from 1st October to 31st December yearly. *Onslow-Cole*
- 3. 19/04146/ALB [Punch Pub Co. Ltd.], White Hart, 2 Market Square, MK18 1NL Internal refurbishment to public house with full redecoration and minor layout alterations. Full external redecoration and decorative festoon lighting to external seating area. *Butcher* [*Greene King plc*]
- 4. 19/04154/ACL 2 Chandos Close, MK18 1AW Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for the proposed demolition of conservatory and erection of single storey rear extension *Mrs Morgan and Mrs J Stapleton*
- 5. 19/04237/AAD The Barbers, 10 Market Square, MK18 1NJ Erection of a barbers pole (retrospective) *Gibson*

Members should note that this is a Listed Building and an ALB application has been requested; if it is notified before the meeting, the two can be considered together

- 6. 19/04239/APP Superchips, 2-18 Homestall, MK18 1XJ Erection of a single storey extension to form new garage/workshop area *Sandford (Superchips)*
- 7. 19/04332/APP 18 Edging Lane, MK18 7SD Loft conversion including 6 roof lights (3 to front and 3 to rear) *Rush*

Members will note (below) that the proposal with the box dormer has been refused

Not for consultation

8. 19/04203/ATP *[land adjacent to]* 6 Carisbrooke Court, MK18 1TU Norway Maple (T1 & T2) – Fell both trees on public highway due to implication in a subsidence issue at No.6 Carisbrooke Court *Smart*

The consensus of consultee responses is to Oppose, many querying whether the trees are causing the damage

The following Minor Amendments have been received, for information only:

9. 19/03398/APP Oddfellows Hall, 48 Well Street, MK18 1EP

Conversion of former meeting place (Class D1) to form 9 no. student apartments with associated communal facilities *Smith*

Minor amendments include:

- The directions on the elevation drawing have been corrected
- Existing front door and fanlight to be retained, not replaced
- Existing street window to Flat 9 to be retained
- Timber-framed double glazed windows instead of powder-coated white aluminium
- Secure mailboxes to be installed on the walls each side of the main door
- New door to amenity space in basement
- New doors to cycle and bin stores; access controlled by fob; self-closing and locking
- New doors to all flats, to include door viewer; access by fob

7. Planning Decisions

To receive for information details of planning decisions made by AVDC as per 'Bulletin' and other decisions.

Approved 19/03433/APP 8 London Road 19/03660/APP Unit D, Manor Farm 19/03942/APP 29 Hilltop Avenue	2-st rear and side extensions Ch/use to Chiropractor Part s/st front and rear extensions	BTC response No objections No objections No objections	
Refused 19/03640/APP 7 Krohn Close	S/st side and 2-st side/rear extns	Oppose & Atte	end

19/03421/APP 18 Edging Lane

Planning Inspectorate

Application Ref: 18/03597/APP Appeal Ref: 19/00069/FTHA

9 Portfield Way: Erection of part first floor, part two storey and part single storey side and rear extension

This appeal has been withdrawn.

8. Development Management Committee

8.1 Strategic Development Management (27th November 2019) *No Buckingham applications* 8.2 Development Management (29th November 2019) (19/01147/APP Coach House, Wharf Yard) To receive a verbal report from Cllr. Harvey.

Rear dormer & rooflights to front

9. Enforcement

To report any new breaches

10. Street Naming - Nursery Bungalow site, West Street

To receive and discuss a request from the Street Naming Officer as attached, and decide on the Council's response. (Min. 494.1/17 of 8/11/17 refers) Appendix x

11. S106 matters

11.1 To receive responses to Cllr. Cole's questions to the quarterly BCC-Parishes Liaison meeting on s106/ClL Appendix x

11.2 To receive updates from AVDC and BCC

AVDC report no change from previous quarterly report. BCC response not received at date of publication of agenda.

12. North Bucks Parishes Planning Consortium

To receive for information the minutes of the special meeting of the Consortium held on Wednesday 27th November 2019 Appendix x

Oppose & Attend

www.buckingham-tc.gov.uk Email: office@buckingham-tc.gov.uk

13. Castle Street and School Lane

To receive and discuss Local Area Funding

14. Matters to report

Members to report any damaged, superfluous and redundant signage in the town, access issues or any other urgent matter.

15. Chairman's items for information

16. Date of the next meeting: Monday 20th January 2020 at 7pm.

To Planning Committee:

Cllr. M. Cole Cllr. J. Harvey	(Town Mayor)
Cllr. P. Hirons Cllr. D. Isham	(Vice Chair)
Cllr. A. Mahi	
Cllr. Mrs. L. O'Donoghue Cllr. A. Ralph	(Chair)
Cllr. R. Stuchbury	
Cllr. M. Try	
Mrs. C. Cumming	(co-opted member, Buckingham Society)

Appendix x

ACTION LIST

Min. 564/19	20 via Parish Channel	Min.	News release	Date of appearance
		459/19	Vision & Design Statement	

Subject	Minute	Form	Rating	Response received
AVDC			√ = done	
Site M	267/19 395.6	Write re removal from VALP Letter also to MP Town Plan Officer: Letter to Planning Inspector	$\sqrt{1}$	Agenda 5.2 & 5.3, 7/10/19 Responses from C. Paternoster & J. Bercow
Enforcement Bulletins	273.1/19	Write to Monitoring Officer to clarify effect GPDR	√	
CIL/s106	326/19	Town Clerk to write to AVDC & BCC as minuted		
Cllr. MacPherson comments	399/19	Town Clerk/Mayor Recommendation to Full Council		To 28 th October Interim meeting
VALP	562/19	Town Clerk/Town Plan Officer/Mayor to provide support for MMPC and FPM at NBPPC meeting	N	Minutes of meeting at agenda 12
BCC:				
Tingewick Road roundabout	139/19 405.2	Road markings, esp. right- hand turn arrow And again, with photos	\checkmark	Letters copied to Steve Essam direct, who responded 29/11/19: I can at least confirm that the current signing work does indeed cover the installation and commissioning of the bollards and also that the Safety Audit will be arranged as soon as possible after this work has been completed. We will be considering the issues concerning the road markings/lane arrows as part of the audit. Luckily, your suggested changes to the text for the signs had already been raised and considered as part of the review of the destinations noted on the signs, although no changes were accepted and the details on the new signs will be largely as the previous ones were, albeit with the general shape of the roundabout depicted on the sign face. There is however, a correction I need to make to the information I sent to Robin in my previous email, in that the new signs do actually contain reference to the 'cemetery', although this will be covered over until such time as the facility is opened, whenever that might be.

APPENDIX A

				AFFEINDIX A
				The current works also includes a general 'tidy up' of the area and landscaping works to both the roundabout and the adjacent verges, so should improve the overall appearance of the whole area once complete.
Field House Nursery	270/19 19/02777/ APP	Copy comments about warning lights/crossing to BCC		
Car @ Bletchley Road roundabout	314.2.3	Parked on verge, used as advertisement		Reported to TfB; who have declined to take action, suggesting it be reported to AVDC.
CIL/s106	326/19	Town Clerk to write to AVDC & BCC as minuted		(NB: as above under AVDC)
Rights of Way consultation	464/19 568/19	Add to next agenda Respond as minuted		
Mary MacManus Drive	569/19	Respond to consultation as minuted		
Enforcement r	eports and	queries		
Enforcement reports	401.1/19	Letter as minuted	√	
Other:				
Pightle Cres.	320/19	Write to VAHT with Members' comments		
Cycleway complaint	404/19	Respond as minuted		
Cars parked permanently on Burleigh Piece	405.1/19	Cllrs. O'Donoghue/Mahi/ Hirons to report registration, make and whereabouts of vehicles		

From: Street Naming <<u>streetnaming@aylesburyvaledc.gov.uk</u>>
Sent: 05 December 2019 13:44
To: Paul Hodson <<u>townclerk@buckingham-tc.gov.uk</u>>; Katharine McElligott <<u>planning@buckingham-</u>tc.gov.uk>;

Subject: Street naming - Nursery Bungalow site, West Street

Dear Paul,

16/00991/APP

In November 2017 your Council agreed to the suggestion of **Sturgess Gardens** as the street name for the development of 4 dwellings at the former Nursery Bungalow site – a letter from the former town clerk dated 8.11.17 with the reference on Min. 494.1/17 confirms this agreement.

The name was suggested by **Example to the street** who ran the flower nursery from this site over many years. However without the street naming and numbering application I could not proceed with naming the development at that time.

I have been chasing the developer, Andrew Boyt since this time to request a street naming and numbering application and he has only just applied. He suggested Riverside Gardens as the street name due to the proximity of the river to the rear of the site. We objected to this suggestion because of the existing development called Riverside Mews already in existing in the same postcode sector. He has come back to say that he is not in agreement with Sturgess Gardens and he has made 2 alternative suggestions of **Riviere Gardens** or **Garden Mews**. Riviere being connected to the river and Garden being connected to the former use of the site. I can confirm that Royal Mail do not object to any of the 3 suggestions we have now received of Sturgess Gardens, Riviere Gardens or Garden Mews.

Before I can proceed further can you please provide me with your Councils feedback on these 2 alternative suggestions – can you please let me know the date of the DC meeting where this matter will be discussed so that I can provide an update to the developer so that they know when to expect a response from myself.

Many thanks

Teresa

Teresa Bull Systems Administrator/Street Naming & Numbering Officer

01296 585444 Digital & Transformation | Aylesbury Vale District Council | The Gateway | Gatehouse Road | Aylesbury | HP19 8FF Web: https://www.aylesburyvaledc.gov.uk/street-names-and-numbers



1. Now that we have been told that there are no plans at present to implement CIL in the Aylesbury Vale area, what steps are being taken to ensure that the current New Homes Bonus funding continues for the area, until the Aylesbury Vale District is brought in to line with the CIL arrangements which will be in place for the rest of the County?

A: The intention for AVDC, as set out in VALP, was to prepare and adopt CIL subsequent to the adoption of VALP. Due to the plan taking longer to prepare and progress through Examination this has not been possible. Nevertheless once VALP is adopted a CIL can be prepared and recent changes to the CIL Regulations mean that the preparation process has been significantly shortened. Therefore it will be for the new Buckinghamshire Council to decide whether it chooses to adopt the delivery of CIL in Aylesbury Vale ahead of any Bucks wide plan, but only once VALP is adopted.

Under the arrangements being developed for the new Buckinghamshire Council, it is intended that community boards will have a dedicated ring-fenced Local Infrastructure Fund to enable local communities, including town and parish councils, to receive funding to support infrastructure needs arising from housing developments. Further details on this scheme will be considered by the Shadow Executive as part of proposals to set-up community boards.

2. What measures will be implemented to ensure that the current S106 arrangements for culture and leisure are reviewed, to ensure they are suitable for the new unitary arrangement, and to better involve town and parish councils?

A: Any Section 106 funds that have been secured prior to vesting day and are ring-fenced for particular projects will continue to be legally ring fenced for that purpose after vesting day. Any subsequent agreements, and the priority to be attached to particular projects will be a matter for the new Buckinghamshire Council to determine at the appropriate time.

3. The current Matrix of Sport and Leisure Facility Provision Standards in Aylesbury Vale was agreed in 2004. This is clearly long overdue for review, and is not fit for purpose to be used for the next five years. Can an assurance be given that this will be thoroughly reviewed during 2020?

A: The new Council will be required to produce a Local Plan for Buckinghamshire by 2025. The new Local Plan will be supported by a significant amount of evidence which could include updated sport and leisure provision standards across the whole Council area. The timing of any review of the evidence base and supporting information is entirely a matter for the new Council to consider in accordance with the priorities of the authority.

4. There is currently a huge disparity in the affordable housing percentages between North and South Bucks (as high as 45% in High Wycombe's newly-made plan, for instance). Buckingham, Winslow and the North Bucks Parishes Planning Consortium are all challenging the 25% which VALP proposes, in place of the 35% which our current Neighbourhood Development Plans mandate. Our case for this was not heard at the original VALP submission hearings last year, as the Examining Inspector ran out of time on both the days we were scheduled to put our case, and although NBPPC has asked for it to be added to the Inspector's documents, it has yet to considered. We are presuming that we will get our chance to rectify this when the final round of consultation takes place. Given that this matter is therefore not at all finalised, what can the shadow authority do to ensure that the concerns of North Bucks councils are further considered?

If VALP is made by the end of March 2020, what measures will the new authority be legally able to take to immediately review and address this planned disparity?

A: The further representation from NBPPC on the VALP is noted, however this is not a matter for the Shadow Executive but is a matter for the Inspector to consider before submitting his final report on the VALP.

Once the Inspector's report is received and the VALP is adopted, any reconsideration of the percentage of affordable housing in Buckinghamshire will be undertaken as part of the preparation of the new Buckinghamshire Local Plan. The new plan will be prepared on the basis of the revised NPPF and supporting guidance and will use up to date evidence to consider the appropriate and viable level of affordable housing that could be achieved. The new Council will be responsible for preparing a single Buckinghamshire Local Plan and it is expected that this could be adopted by 2025.

North Bucks Parishes Planning Consortium

Minutes of special meeting (to agree NBPPC's response to the VALP Main Modifications Consultation) held on Wednesday 27 November 2019 at Winslow Town Chamber commencing 7.30 pm

Apologies for absence:

Paul Firth (Steeple Claydon PC), Steve Tribe (Thornborough PC)

Present: Paul Hirons (NBPPC Chairman/Buckingham TC), Colin Betteress (Little Horwood PC), David Carter (Nash PC), Geoff Culverhouse (NBPPC Secretary), Mike Galloway (Newton Longville PC), John Gilbey (Great Horwood PC), Patrick Hardcastle (Maids Moreton PC), Chris Leech (Great Brickhill PC), Mike Long (Padbury PC), Andrew Pryke (Stewkley PC), John Riches (Middle Claydon PC), PC), Brian Robson (Bierton with Broughton PC), Graham Stewart (Whaddon PC), Rob Symonds (North Marston PC), Roy van de Poll (Winslow TC), Ian Whipp (Newton Longville PC)

Declarations of Interest: None

Presentation in relation to contentious Maids Moreton housing development

This presentation was due to delivered by Kate Pryke but she was unable to attend so Patrick Hardcastle took her place. Patrick explained the background to the planning application for 170 homes, which should not have been part of the local plan, but was presented at short notice to AVDC and approved. Patrick feels that a large part of the reason for the approval decision is that Maids Moreton was incorrectly classed as a medium sized (rather than small) village and that AVDC identified it as an easy option to help meet their new homes target.

Patrick went on to explain that there were many reasons why the development should not proceed e.g. the site concerned is grade 3 agricultural land, distinct lack of sustainability, does not meet NPPF guidelines. The current situation is that once s106 requirements have been completed, a judicial review will be lodged. Although no firm conclusion was reached at the meeting regarding NBPPC's position, the intention is that the Consortium will challenge the inclusion of this site (as well as others – see comments below) in the VALP.

Shenley Park allocation issues

Graham Stewart explained how the proposal for 1150 new homes adjacent to Whaddon has somehow ended up being included in the VALP. It seems the Inspector was looking for 500 new homes to be located close to MK and this together with a shortfall of 600 homes within the plan has been taken as an easy option by AVDC in the form of a proposed large new development at Shenley Park.

Shenley Park allocation issues (continued)

Whaddon PC intend to strongly challenge the inclusion of this site on the grounds that is unsound/unlawful when alternative possible sites at Eaton Leys and an extension to Salden Chase have not been properly assessed. Graham believes Eaton Leys is a better site for development although this was challenged by Chris Leech who thinks that a river running through the site would provide a major connectivity issue. Whaddon PC will be pressing for Hearing Sessions to be reopened so they can stress the need for a detailed sustainability assessment between the three sites. Graham further explained that Whaddon have prepared a 50 point written representation but wonders whether this will enough to influence the Inspector without the re-opening of Hearing Sessions.

Salden Chase: MKC refusal implications

Mike Galloway summarised the position from Newton Longville's perspective and the conclusion reached was that cross boundary planning applications should be assessed as a whole rather than separately and he suggested that perhaps the same applies in relation to Shenley Park. Mike made the point that there has been a significant change of facts regarding housing numbers allocated to Salden Chase and reported that Peter Williams at AVDC has acknowledged that lack of numbers as a result of MKC's decision to decline is not a concern unless someone challenges 5 year housing supply numbers.

Other significant issues with proposed modifications

Buckingham TC have sent NBPPC a copy of their VALP Modifications Consultation submission with a separate summary highlighting the issues that are likely to concern NBPPC. A copy of the message received from Buckingham TC is being circulated to NBPPC members. It was agreed that the points highlighted should be included in NBPPC's representation to the consultation.

Inspector's apparent dismissal of NBPPC's objection re the Affordable Housing delivery percentage

Roy van de Poll explained that the Inspector appears to have dismissed NBPPC's submission relating to the Affordable Housing delivery percentage or perhaps more likely has not even properly considered it. As such, we need to query this aspect in our submission.

Roy also mentioned that at the Evidence in Public hearing sessions the Inspector criticised the Submisson VALP for referring to non-existent Supplementary Planning Documents and that he wished to see this information set out in the Plan. There are 4 district wide SPD's to be produced, one of which is the SPD on Affordable Housing and it was agreed to include reference to this anomaly in our representation.

Conclusion/Action Plan

It was generally agreed that NBPPC should submit a letter in respect of the consultation process with a request that the Inspector should respond to a change of circumstances which significantly affect the fundamentals upon which the sites at Shenley Park, Salden Chase and Maids Moreton have been chosen. This letter should stress that NBPPC members have been denied the opportunity to put their case to challenge the choice of these sites and for this reason it is very important that Hearing Sessions should be re-opened. NBPPC's letter should also include the other matters mentioned above i.e Buckingham issues and the matters mentioned in the Affordable Housing paragraph.

For the record it should be noted that Brian Robson does not agree that NBPPC should press for the re-opening of Hearing Sessions because he feels this could result in delays in the VALP being signed off with all of the attendant problems that could follow on from this.

Roy van de Poll and Geoff Culverhouse have agreed to work on the wording of NBPPC's letter relating to the consultation with Whaddon, Newton Longville and Maids Moreton PC's to be given the opportunity to have an input into the parts of the representation which will particularly affect them.

The meeting closed at 9.10 pm

Appendix D

I am in receipt of your email which has been passed to the Local Area Forum team.

Thank you for raising your concerns regarding Castle Street, Buckingham.

We are aware that improvements to Castle Street have been discussed previously through the LAF however we are not currently delivering any schemes for this. The Buckingham LAF may be able to financially support future schemes (which could be delivered by Transport for Buckinghamshire) however an application would need to come from Buckingham Town Council, as their support would be required, as well 50% match funding as per our funding requirements.

Therefore I would recommend contacting Buckingham Town Council to discuss your concerns and ideas- Paul Hodson is the Town Clerk and can be contacted by email townclerk@buckingham-tc.gov.uk or by phone- 01280 816 426.

Kind Regards,

Amy Jenner Senior LAF Officer Democratic Services Team Resources

Buckinghamshire County Council County Hall Walton Street Aylesbury HP20 1UA

HAS THE COUNCIL THOUGHT OF MAKING IT ONE WAY ONLY IN CASTLE STREET AND SCHOOL LANE IN BUCKINGHAM ? THIS SEEMS AN OBVIOUS IMPROVEMENT AS CASTLE STREET (outside Villiers Hotel) IS EXTREMELY NARROW AND IT VERY DIFFICULT FOR TRAFFIC TO TURN INTO CASTLE STRREET. MAKING THIS ROAD AND SCHOOL LANE ONEWAY ONLY WOULD MAKE THE TRAFFIC FLOW FAR BETTER AND PREVENT HOLD UPS. MY SUGGESTION IS MAKE CASTLE STREET ONEWAY ONLY GOING INTO BUCKINGHAM, AND SCHOLL LANE ONEWAY ONLY WITH TRAFFIC COMING OFF

THE BRACKLEY ROAD AND GOING INTO CASTLE STREET. PLEASE GIVE THIS SERIOUS CONSIDERATION AND LET ME KNOW WHAT YOUR HIGHWAYS DEPT. THINK ABOUT IT MANY THANKS IN ADVANCE

BUCKINGHAM TOWN COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE MONDAY 16th DECEMBER 2019

Contact Officer: Paul Hodson, Town Clerk

Draft Precept Proposal

1. Recommendations

- 1.1. It is recommended that the Committee agree the proposed budget for 20/21 to be submitted to Full Council for consideration at the Precept Meeting on 13th January 2019.
- 1.2. It is recommended that the Committee agree the proposed forecast budget for 2021 to 2025 to be submitted to Full Council for consideration at the Precept Meeting on 13th January 2019.

2. Background

- 2.1. The Resources Committee agreed on 23rd September 2019, "for the Council to plan to adopt a five-year budget and five-year business plan for 2020 -26, and that this be initially developed by each of the four committees reviewing the aspects relevant to their remit". (359/19)
- 2.2. The Planning Committee discussed a draft budget for 2020/21 and the following four years at its meeting on 7th October 2019. Member agreed that an additional sum of £5,000 per year should be budgeted in 2020/2021 and in each year going forward towards the costs of reviewing the Neighbourhood Plan. **3(97/19)**

3. Budget Highlights

- 3.1. A five-year budget has been proposed, to enable long-term planning. However, it is expected that this will change each year, dependent on spend against the budget in previous years, and in light of changes to service demands, Council policies and priorities and the wider economic context.
- 3.2. The budget is currently being prepared assuming RPI of 2.6%, in line with the rise reported in September 2019. For this reason, the draft budget is being prepared with a forecast precept rise to Council Tax payers of 2.6%. The exception to this is staff salaries; a 3% increase has been assumed for 2020/21, however this will be subject to the outcome of the national agreement once announced as usual.

4. Significant Changes

- 4.1. The following significant changes have been made compared to this year's budget for this Committee:
- A new cost of £9,500 for the cost of a Green Spaces Apprentice has been included in the salary budget

5. Earmarked reserves

- 5.1. It is proposed to use the forecast underspend from this Committee, along with underspend of the remainder of the Council's budget, to create an ear-marked of £20,000 to support the ongoing costs of Neighbourhood Planning.
- 5.2. The proposed five-year budget is given below.

6. Proposed Budget

			2018/19 Budget	2018/19 Actual	2019/20 Budget	2019/20 Actual Year to Date	2019/20 Forecast	2020/21 Proposed Budget	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
601		PLANNING										
	3992	WAGES & SALARIES PLANNING	29700	11172	30341	17699	30341	31252	32189	33155	34149	35174
	3993	NI PLANNING	1900	382	4187	1053	1806	4313	4442	4575	4713	4854
	3994	PENSION ERS PLANNING	7100	0	7616	1709	2930	4000	4120	4244	4371	4502
	4624	NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN	1000	3000	1000	0	1000	1800	3078	3158	3240	3324
			39700	14554	43144	20461	36077	41365	43829	45132	46473	47854

BUCKINGHAM TOWN COUNCIL

Planning Committee

MONDAY 16th December 2019

Contact Officer: Nina Stockill, Committee Clerk

Draft Climate Emergency Action Plan

1. Recommendations

- 1.1. That Members review the report and agree the proposed actions specific to Planning Committee.
- 1.2. That Members highlight any suggested amendments to the Action Plan and consider how they can contribute to achieving this agenda.
- 1.3. That Members discuss the budgetary implications of any actions they wish to take forward in light of the proposed budget for 2020/21

2. Background

- 2.1. This draft action plan sets locally determined actions with measures to deliver the objectives both within the Council and across the town. The actions are measurable with targets that hold the Council to account. This Climate Emergency Action Plan is an over-arching pledge that identifies the following priority areas of change (Agreed at Full Council on the 18th November 2019 (min 512/19))
 - Energy to reduce energy demand, improve energy efficiency and convert to renewable, low or zero carbon technologies for energy and heat
 - Transport to promote walking, cycling and public transport. Accelerating the move to Council owned electric vehicles and equipment
 - Food & Land to promote sustainable land management, including tree planting to help absorb carbon & water
 - Economy, Housing & Waste to support the local economy to ensure existing and new homes are climate resilient whilst reducing, re using and recycling materials
 - Community Engagement to engage local people in accelerating their own responses and building resilience to ongoing climate impacts;

2.2. Buckingham Town Council has previously AGREED:

That Buckingham Town Council declares a climate emergency and commits to encourage Buckingham to become carbon neutral. This will help to raise the profile of this vital issue and secure external support and funding. It is also proposed that Buckingham Town Council also signs up to the Covenant of Mayors to track our progress and link with towns around the world who are cutting emissions. Our aim is to do this by making the Town Council carbon neutral by 2030 and encouraging the town's population, organisation and businesses to work with us in that aim. *(min 509/19)*

and...

"... Buckingham Town Council to support the need for a Climate Change Action Plan for Buckingham Town, so that we take the first step towards taking some concerted actions to reduce and move towards a Net Zero Carbon Footprint for the town. There are so many no-cost and low-cost options available that when combined with the funding available to community and other organisations we can start to play an increased role in combating climate change. (*min 209/19*)

Buckingham Town Council Draft Climate Emergency Action Plan

No.	ongoing climate impacts.								
NO.	Action	Measure	Responsibility						
1	Consultation on the Buckingham Neighbourhood Plan refresh will enable detailed consultation on the proposed changes.		Planning Committee						
Enei	gy - reduce energy demand, improve energy effic for e	eiency and convert to renewable, low energy and heat	or zero carbon technologies						
	Action	Measure	Responsibility						
2	Minimise the climate impact from development and encourage a low carbon economy through our	% of new builds in the parish to be low carbon properties	Planning Committee						
	 planning system by: Encourage a sustainable pattern of development supported by a low carbon 	% of new builds in the parish to be	Planning Committee						

PL/130/19

	Action	Measure	Responsibility		
	Transport – to promote walking, cycling and pu vehicles.	ublic transport. Accelerating the mo	ve to Council owned electric		
	Action	Measure	Responsibility		
3	Review of HGV restrictions in the town to ensure the largest number are implemented and enforced Explore more bike parking facilities around the town, including local schools and businesses.	5 more racks by 2021	Planning Committee Planning Committee		
	Food & Land - to promote sustainable land mana	agement, including tree planting to h	elp absorb carbon & water.		
	Action	Measure	Responsibility		
5	Seek adequate garden space or community spaces for growing food in all future development.	One community space per new development	Full Council an Planning Committee		
6	Look to legally protect the future of the riverside parks in Buckingham, including Chandos Park, Bourton Park and Heartlands as parkland.	•	Planning Committee and Environment Committee		